

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,546	09/16/2002	Rick Roland Langmaid	17480P024	6595
7590 07/23/2007 Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman 12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			EXAMINER	
			OBEID, FAHD A	
Los Aligeles, C	A 90023-1020		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3609	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**************************************	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/088,546	LANGMAID ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Fahd A. Obeid	3609				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a not will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09.	/16/2002.					
· _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal mat	ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	•			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawithd						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	***	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	on priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	griphionity amade do dio.o.g.	(1).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lie	st of the certified copies not	received.				
		•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/27/2002	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/088,546 Page 2

Art Unit: 3609

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

1. Claims 1-28 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Regarding claims 1, 6, 7, 10, 15, 16, 19, 24, and 25 the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- 4. <u>Claims 9,18, and 27</u> recite the limitation "DPID and OMR". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,546 Page 3

Art Unit: 3609

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-4, 6, 9-13, 15, 18-22, 24, and 27 are rejected under U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thomas Stephens (US 5237159)
- 7. Regarding Claims 1, 10, and 19: Stephens discloses an account method, executed by an account system, including: receiving account data (abstract, fig. 4A-4B, col 4 lines 6-36, col 6 lines 12-27, and claim 8) over a communications network (col 5 lines 22-29 and claim 8), said account data having been extracted in a first predetermined format from an accounting software package of a remote computer system of a client (abstract, col 3 lines 32-45, col 7 lines 35-60, claim 1, claim 8, and claim 15); processing said account data for storage in a database system in a second predetermined format and in a predetermined form based on parameters for the client (claim 8, claim 15, claim 21, claim 33, ; and generating customer account information, such as statement data, from said account data in said database system for respective customers of said client (col 9 lines 33-40, claim 9, claim 11, and claim 23).

Art Unit: 3609

8. Regarding Claims 2, 11, and 20: Stephens discloses an account processing method as claimed in claim 1, including extracting said account data in said first predetermined format (abstract, col 3 lines 32-45, col 7 lines 35-60, claim 1, claim 8, and claim 15).

Page 4

- 9. Regarding Claims 3, 12, and 21: Stephens discloses an account processing method as claimed in claim 1, wherein said parameters define business rules and variables for said client, and said business rules control said account data processing (col 5 lines 65-68, col 6 lines 1-11, col 9 lines 59-68, col 10 lines 41-49).
- 10. Regarding Claims 4, 13, and 22: Stephens discloses an account processing method as claimed in claim 1, wherein said generating step includes sending said account data to a printing system and printing (col 7 lines 5-17, col 7 lines 50-59, col 8 lines 64-68, and col 9 lines 33-40), sorting (col 3 lines 28-31, col 9 lines 43-52, and fig. 6) inserting in envelopes said account information for said customers (col 2 lines 39-49).
- 11. Regarding Claims 6, 15, and 24: Stephens discloses an account processing method as claimed in claim 1, wherein said generating step includes sending the account information to said customers by electronic transmission media, such as email, fax and electronic funds transfer (EFT) systems (col 2 lines 64-68, col 7 lines 55-60, claim 32, and claim 44).

Art Unit: 3609

Page 5

12. Regarding Claims 9, 18, and 27: Stephens discloses an account processing method as claimed in claim 3, wherein said processing includes filtering (claim 34 and claim 35), and verifying said account data (col 9 lines 59-68, col 10 lines 60-68, claim 2, and claim 8) executing said business rules on said account data (col 5 lines 65-68, col 6 lines 1-11, col 9 lines 59-68, col 10 lines 41-49).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 3609

Page 6

- 15. Claims 5, 8, 14, 17, 23, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stephens (5237159) in view of John Doyle (US 5694551).
- 16. Regarding Claims 5, 14, and 23: Stephens discloses an account processing method as claimed in claim 4, wherein said account information includes statements, cheques (fig. 2, col 7 lines 43-60, col 9 lines 33-40, col 12 lines 1-10, col 12 lines 54-65, and claim 13). Stephens does not disclose invoice as account information.

 However, Doyle discloses invoices as account information (col 4 lines 21-28, col 8 lines 16-28). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Doyle's teachings in the Stephens electronic check presentment system enabled. Since, it provides to the clients a summary of their order information.
- 17. Regarding Claims 8, 17, and 26: Stephens discloses the claimed invention except for a transaction module for inputting payment instructions from customers corresponding to the account information, and executing a payment transaction on the basis of the instructions.

However, Doyle discloses a transaction module for inputting payment instruction from customers corresponding to the account information, and executing a payment transaction on the basis of the instructions (col 2 lines 20-67, col 3 lines 15-53, col 11 lines 4-12).). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the

Art Unit: 3609

time the invention was made to use Doyle's teachings in the Stephens electronic check presentment system enabled. Since, access is provided to the file (account) for agents to perform one or more activities related to the data inputs, such as entering, deleting, reviewing, adjusting, and processing the data inputs.

- 18. Claims 7, 16, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stephens (5237159) in view of official notice.
- 19. Regarding Claims 7, 16, and 25: Stephens discloses an account processing method as claimed in claim 1, including making said account information available to customers via a software interface accessible over a communication network (col 2 lines 32-38, and col 6 lines 52-68). Stephens however, does not disclose Internet as a communication network. Examiner takes official notice that communication thru internet is old and well known in the art at the time the invention was made. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include internet in the communication system enabled. Since, it provides electronic communication services to users.
- 20. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stephens (5237159) in view of Murem Sharpe (US 5222018).

Page 7

Art Unit: 3609

21. Regarding Claim 28: Stephens discloses the claimed invention except for

transmitting the account data in an encrypted form to the server.

However, Sharpe discloses transmitting account data in an encrypted form (col 6 lines

24-32). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Page 8

invention was made to use Sharpe's teachings in the Stephens electronic check

presentment system enabled. Since, it provides a high degree of security against

attempts by dishonest operators (col 7 lines 39-42).

Citation Of Relevant Prior Art

- 22. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 23. Reference (5794234) is cited to show exchange of financial and business data between trading partners having incompatible accounting database systems.
- 24. Reference (5950174) is cited to show billing in a communication services environment.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fahd A. Obeid whose telephone number is 571-270-3324. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Akm Ullah can be reached on 571-272-2361. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Fahd Obeid Patent Examiner

AKM ULLAH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER